

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARTIN LEE FOSTER,

Plaintiff,

v.

WILLIAM B. SHUBB, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:25-cv-1755 DAD CSK P

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS


Plaintiff is a county prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. By an order filed August 18, 2025, plaintiff was granted thirty days to file a completed in forma pauperis affidavit and a certified copy of his jail trust account statement and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. (ECF No. 7.) The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order and has not filed the required documents.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned

1 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that
2 failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District
3 Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

4
5 Dated: September 24, 2025

6 
7 CHI SOO KIM
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9

10 Fost1755.fifp/2
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28